

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiffs allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This is a citizens' suit brought pursuant to the provisions of Clean Water Act 33 U.S. C. §1251, *et seq.*, the Solid Waste Disposal Act, 42 U.S. C. §6901, *et seq.*, and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §9601, *et seq.*

JURISDICTION

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the First Count herein pursuant to 33 U.S.C. §1365(a)(1). Defendants have waived sovereign immunity to the First Count pursuant to 33 U.S.C. §§1323(a) and 1365(a)(1).

3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Second Count pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §6972(a)(1)(A). The Defendants have waived sovereign immunity to the Second Count pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§6961(a) and 6972(a)(1)(A).

4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Third Count pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §9659(a)(1). The Defendants have waived sovereign immunity to the Third Count pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9659(a)(1).

5. By letter dated June 15, 2001, the Plaintiffs gave notice of their intent to commence this action as required by 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1) and 42 U.S.C. §§ 6972(b)(1) and 9659(d)(1). Shortly following the Defendants' receipt of said letter, the Plaintiffs and Defendants commenced negotiations aimed at reaching a settlement of the claims asserted herein. At the request of the Defendants, Plaintiffs agreed that they would not commence this action

until such time as the Plaintiffs and Defendants ceased their negotiations. By letter dated about April 10, 2002, the Defendants terminated said negotiations. Plaintiffs commenced this action as soon as possible thereafter.

FIRST COUNT

VIOLATIONS OF CLEAN WATER ACT

6. Each of the Plaintiffs is a “citizen” as said term is defined in 33 U.S.C. § 1365(g), in that they are persons having an interest which is or may be adversely affected by the actions of the Defendants described in this First Count. Each of the Plaintiffs likewise has one or more interests that are or may be adversely affected by the actions or inactions of the Defendants described in the Second and Third Counts below.

7. This First Count is brought against Defendants, United States Department of the Army and the United States Department of Defense only.

8. Defendants, United States Department of the Army and United States Department of Defense, maintain jurisdiction and/or control over an installation consisting of approximately 60,000 acres known as Fort Richardson, located north of Anchorage, Alaska. Fort Richardson lies within this district.

9. Beginning at a time currently unknown to the Plaintiffs and continuing to the present, the Army has and/or continues to and/or plans to discharge munitions, and the constituents and/or by-products and/or residues of munitions, in to and on various lands and waters on and/or in the vicinity of Fort Richardson.

10. The Army has and/or continues to and/or plans to discharge munitions, and the constituents and/or by-products and/or residues of munitions, into waters and/or on to lands on or

in the vicinity of Fort Richardson, using cannons, rifles, artillery and/or other sources.

11. The waters into which the Army has and continues to discharge munitions include the waters of the Eagle River, Eagle River Flats and/or Knik Arm.

12. The Army has not applied for, nor has it been issued, a permit from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) authorizing the discharge of munitions into waters as described in this First Count.

13. The Army therefore has violated, continues to violate and/or threatens to violate 33 U.S.C. §§1311(a) and 1323(a), as well as 40 C.F.R. §122.21.

14. The waters of the Eagle River on and in the vicinity of Fort Richardson violate the water quality standards established by 18 Alaska Administrative Code 070.20(b). The Army’s actions described in this First Count have caused and/or contributed, and continue to cause and/or contribute, to this violation of water quality standards in the Eagle River. The Army’s actions therefore have violated and continue to violate 18 Alaska Administrative Code 070.10 and 33 U.S.C. §1323(a).

15. The Army’s actions described in this First Count have polluted and/or added to the pollution of the land and/or waters on and/or in the vicinity of Fort Richardson. Said lands and/or waters include lands and/or waters in, on and/or under the Eagle River, Eagle River Flats, and/or the Knik Arm. The Army’s actions therefore have violated and continue to violate Alaska Statutes 46.03.710 and 33 U.S.C. §1323(a).

16. The Army’s actions described in this First Count have resulted in the disposal of solid and/or liquid waste material into the waters and/or on to land on or in the vicinity of Fort Richardson. The Army does not have, nor has it applied for, a permit, from the Alaska

Department of Environmental Quality authorizing said discharge into waters and/or on to land.

The Army's actions therefore have violated and continue to violate Alaska Statutes 46.03.100(a) and 33 U.S.C. §1323(a).

SECOND COUNT

VIOLATIONS OF SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL ACT

17. This Second Count is brought against Defendants, United States Department of the Army and the United States Department of Defense only.

18. As described in the First Count above, the Army has violated and continues to violate Alaska Statutes §§46.03.710 and 46.03.100(a).

19. The Army's violations of Alaska Statutes §§46.03.710 and 46.03.100(a) constitute a violation of 42 U.S.C. §6961(a).

THIRD COUNT

VIOLATIONS OF CERCLA

20. This Third Count is brought against all of the Defendants named above.

21. In 1994, due to a high level of pollution, the Environmental Protection Agency placed Fort Richardson on the National Priorities List, a list of the nation's most polluted facilities that are to be given priority for cleanup.

22. Shortly thereafter, the EPA, the State of Alaska, and the Army entered into an "interagency agreement" (as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. §9620(e)) entitled "Federal Facility Agreement Under CERCLA Section 120 Administrative Docket Number 1092-05-02-120" (hereinafter the "FFA") regarding Fort Richardson.

23. Live, unexploded ordnance exists in, on, and/or under the lands and/or water on or

in the vicinity of Fort Richardson, including without limitation the lands and/or waters of the Eagle River, Eagle River Flats and/or the Knik Arm, as well as the land and/or waters in an area referred to by the Army as the OB/OD pad. Unexploded ordnance may also exist in, on, and/or under other lands and/or waters on or in the vicinity of Fort Richardson.

24. This unexploded ordnance constitutes and contains “hazardous substances” and/or “pollutants or contaminants” as those terms are defined in 42 U.S.C. §§9601(17) and 9601(33). The Army, however, has taken, and continues to take, the position that this unexploded ordnance neither constitutes nor contains “hazardous substances” and/or “pollutants or contaminants” as those terms are defined in 42 U.S.C. §§9601(17) and 9601(33).

25. The Army has never commenced, nor has it performed, a remedial investigation or feasibility study (RI/FS) regarding unexploded ordnance or the constituents of such ordnance on Fort Richardson.

26. The Army’s failure to commence or perform such an RI/FS violates and continues to violate 42 U.S.C. §9620(e)(i) as well as paragraphs 8.8 and 8.9 and Attachment 1 of the FFA (including without limitation section 3.1 of Attachment 1).

27. The Army has never adopted a plan for remediation of the unexploded ordnance described above; nor has the Army commenced or performed remediation of such ordnance. The Army therefore has violated and continues to violate 42 U.S.C. §§9620(e)(2)-(e)(4) as well as ¶8.10 and Attachment 1 to the FFA.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

28. Declare that the Army’s discharge of munitions into waters as described in the

First Count herein has violated and continues to violate 33 U.S.C. §§1311(a) and/or 1323(a).

29. Order the Army to stop discharging munitions into the waters of the Eagle River, Eagle River Flats and/or the Knik Arm until such time as the Army obtains a permit authorizing the discharge from the EPA.

30. Declare that the Army's actions described in the First and Second Counts herein have violated and/or continue to violate 18 A.A.C. §070.10, Alaska Statutes §§46.03.710 and 46.03.100(a), and/or 33 U.S.C. §1323(a) and/or 42 U.S.C. §6961(a).

31. Issue appropriate injunctive relief prohibiting the Army from continuing to conduct the activities described in the First and Second Counts herein in violation of 18 A.A.C. §070.10, AS §§46.03.710 and 46.03.100(a) and/or 33 U.S.C. §1323(a) and/or 42 U.S.C. §6961(a).

32. Declare that the unexploded ordnance in, on, and/or under the lands and waters on Fort Richardson constitutes and contains "hazardous substances" and/or "pollutants or contaminants" as those terms are defined in CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9601(17) and 9601(33).

33. Order the Army to commence and fully perform an RI/FS regarding unexploded ordnance on Fort Richardson.

34. Order the Army to pay the Plaintiffs' costs and attorneys fees as provided by statute, including 33 U.S.C. §1365(d) and 42 U.S. §§ 6972(e) and 9659(f).

35. Order the Army to pay appropriate civil penalties as provided by 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), 42 U.S. C. § 6928(g), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9609(a)(1)(E), 9609(b)(5), 9622(l), and/or 9659(c).

36. Issue other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 12, 2002

COX & MOYER

By:

SCOTT J. ALLEN
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Fort Rich Complaint.wpd